(1) (3)

C.F. 1082

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2008 /2004

PETITIONER

Manaharan Lal Dewangan,
S/o. Shri Bhuwan Lal Dewangan,
Aged about 38 years,
R/o. Village & P.O. Ganiyari,
District Bilaspur (CG)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through its Secretary,
Department of Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner,
Directorate of Higher Education, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Additional Director,
Higher Education, Raipur & Bastar Division, Raipur (C.G)

4. Principal, Govt. College,
Lalbahadur Nagar,
Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2080/04

Dept. 1-4-04

P.R. No. 541 Rupal 15-4-04

VED-COPY 15/7/04 A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS.

(93)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 2008 OF 2004

Petitioner : Manaharan Lal Dewangan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(26th July, 2006)

1. This petition has been filed impugning the order dated 25.9.2000 (Annexure P-7) whereby, the services of the petitioner was discontinued. The petitioner had challenged this order in O.A. No. 1113/2000, before the Madhya Pradesh, Administrative Tribunal, bench at Raipur. On dissolution of the Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Raipur, the matter has been transferred to this Court. The instant petition was filed impugning the same order which is already under challenge in O.A. No.1113/2000, registered now as W.P.S. No. 3427/2005.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instruction, submits that the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition, in view of the transfer of Original Application before this Court, as this petition seeks for the same relief, as has been sought for in Original Application, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

(54)

3. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Coun